

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,726	01/15/2002	Alok Nigam	HO-P01709US6	7577
26271 7:	590 11/19/2002			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1301 MCKINN SUITE 5100			PHAN, HIEU	
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095		[	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3738	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 0.41	10/047,726	NIGAM, ALOK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The state of the s	Hieu Phan	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	<u>anuary 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	in from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	olocion roquiromoni.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

2. Claims 1-3, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feingold (U.S. Patent 5,913,898).

Feingold teaches a generally circular shaped lens 10 comprising a lens portion 14 with a thickness Tc of and the lens body portion 12 with an edge thickness Te as is claimed (figures 1-24, column 4 lines 24-67 and column 5 lines 1-64).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

4. Claims 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feingold (U.S. Patent 5,913,898) in view of Wichterle (U.S. Patent 4,971,732).

Feingold is explained as before. Feingold further lack the body formed of a hydrogel with an index of refraction in the range of 1.36 to 1.39.

Wichterle teaches lens constructed with a hydrogel with a refractive index of 1.37 to 1.45 (Abstract, and column 3 lines 31-63). The motivations for using the material disclosed by Wichterle are the material provides high permeability to oxygen and carbon dioxide and with an index of refraction of 1.37-1.45 the lens project a clear and sharp image to the retina.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Wichterle to modify the apparatus Feingold to have the body formed of a material with an index of refraction in the range of 1.36 to 1.39. The motivations for incorporating the feature of Wichterle into the apparatus of Feingold are the material provides a high permeability to oxygen and carbon dioxide and with an index of refraction of 1.36-1.45 the lens project a clear and sharp image to the retina.

5. Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choyce (U.S. Patent 4,655,774).

Choyce teaches a method of implanting a corneal implant by cutting away portion of the cornea, implanting the corneal implant and replacing the portion of the cornea that was cut away (figures 1-7 and column 2 lines 14-68). But Choyce further lacking the body formed of a hydrogel with an index of refraction in the range of 1.36 to 1.39.

Wichterle teaches lens constructed with a hydrogel with a refractive index of 1.37 to 1.45 (Abstract, and column 3 lines 31-63). The motivations for using the material disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

Page 4

index of refraction of 1.37-1.45 the lens project a clear and sharp image to the retina.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to use the teaching of Wichterle to modify the apparatus Choyce to have

Wichterle are the material provides high permeability to oxygen and carbon dioxide and with an

the body formed of a material with an index of refraction in the range of 1.36 to 1.39. The

motivations for incorporating the feature of Wichterle into the apparatus of Choyce are the

material provides a high permeability to oxygen and carbon dioxide and with an index of

refraction of 1.36-1.45 the lens project a clear and sharp image to the retina.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular

communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan

Examiner

Art Unit 3738

November 17, 2002

CORRINE MCDERMOTT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700